

WEIGHTED BIG LIPSCHITZ ALGEBRAS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS AND CLOSED IDEALS.

BRAHIM BOUYA AND MOHAMED ZARRABI

ABSTRACT. We give the smallest closed ideal with given hull and inner factor for some weighted big Lipschitz algebras of analytic functions.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ be the space of all bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane. Various authors have characterized completely the structure of the closed ideals in some separable Banach algebras of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$, we refer the reader for instance to [1, 10, 11, 15, 17] and some references therein. They have proved that this structure is standard in the sense of that given by Beurling and Rudin in the usual disk algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ that are continuous up to the boundary $\mathbb{T}$, see [8, Page. 85] and [12]. However, the structure of closed ideals in nonseparable Banach algebras of analytic functions seems much more difficult to characterize, we can see for instance [2, 5, 7, 9, 14, 16]. In this paper we are interested in the description of the closed ideals in the following weighted big Lipschitz algebra

$$Lip_\omega(\mathbb{D}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty : \sup_{\substack{z, w \in \mathbb{D} \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} < +\infty \right\},$$

where $\omega \neq 0$ is a *modulus of continuity*, i.e., a nonnegative nondecreasing continuous function on $[0, 2]$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $t \mapsto \omega(t)/t$ is nonincreasing. Our main result is stated in Theorem 1 below.

It is well-known that Lip_ω is a nonseparable commutative Banach algebra when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\omega, \mathbb{D}} := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{z, w \in \mathbb{D} \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)},$$

Date: February 20, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 46E20, secondary 30C85, 47A15.

This paper was partially supported by ANR FRAB: ANR-09-BLAN-0058-02.

The first author wishes to thank the ICTP of Trieste, where a part of this paper was achieved during his stay as a post-doctoral researcher in mathematics section.

where $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$ is the supremum norm. Similarly the weighted big Lipschitz algebra $Lip_\omega(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by

$$Lip_\omega(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{\substack{z, w \in \mathbb{T} \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Tamrazov [19] has shown that the algebras $Lip_\omega(\mathbb{D})$ and $Lip_\omega := Lip_\omega(\mathbb{T})$ coincide for any modulus of continuity ω , see also [1, Appendix A]. Furthermore, the norms $\|f\|_{\omega, \mathbb{D}}$ and $\|f\|_\omega := \|f\|_{\omega, \mathbb{T}}$ are equivalent, where

$$\|f\|_{\omega, \mathbb{T}} := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{z, w \in \mathbb{T} \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)}.$$

In what follows, we denote by $\mathbb{E}$ a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$ of Lebesgue measure zero and by $U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ an inner function such that $\sigma(U) \cap \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$, where

$$\sigma(U) := \left\{ \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : \liminf_{\substack{z \rightarrow \lambda \\ z \in \mathbb{D}}} |U(z)| = 0 \right\},$$

is called the spectrum of U , see for instance [13, Pages. 62-63]. It is known that $\sigma(U) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}_U} \cup \text{supp}(\mu_U)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_U$ is the zero set in $\mathbb{D}$ of U and $\text{supp}(\mu_U)$ is the closed support of the singular measure μ_U associated with the singular part of U .

For a closed ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of Lip_ω , we denote by $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ the greatest inner common divisor of the inner parts of functions in $\mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}$ and by $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the standard hull of $\mathcal{I}$, that is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}} := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : f(\xi) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{I} \},$$

see [8, Page. 85] and [12]. We define

$$\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) := \{ f \in Lip_\omega : f|_{\mathbb{E}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty \},$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) : \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta) := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : d(\xi, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta \}, \quad \delta > 0,$$

and $d(z, \mathbb{E})$ designs the Euclidian distance from the point $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to the set $\mathbb{E}$. It should be mentioned that for any ω , the closed ideal $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$ coincides with

$$\mathcal{K}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) : \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{d(z, \mathbb{E}), d(w, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta \\ z, w \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = 0 \right\},$$

as it is obtained in Proposition 5 of section 6.1 below. For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we set

$$\omega_\alpha(t) := t^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2.$$

A classical result due to Carleson [3] says that $\mathbb{E}$ is a boundary zero set of a function $f \in Lip_{\omega_\alpha} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log d(\xi, \mathbb{E}) |d\xi| > -\infty. \quad (1.1)$$

In this case $\mathbb{E}$ is called a Beurling-Carleson set. Recently, it is proved [2, Theorem 1] that if $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal of Lip_{ω_α} , then

$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega_\alpha}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}, U_{\mathcal{I}}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}. \quad (1.2)$$

The proof of this result uses the following stronger property: If $\mathbb{E}$ is a Beurling-Carleson set then there exists a function f holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ and continuously differentiable in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that both f and f' vanish exactly on $\mathbb{E}$. On the other hand, Shirokov [17, Chapter III] has shown that generally the boundary zero set of a function $f \in Lip_\omega$ does not necessarily satisfy (1.1) as in the case of Lip_{χ_β} , where

$$\chi_\beta(t) := \log^{-\beta} \left(\frac{2e^\beta}{t} \right), \quad 0 < t \leq 2,$$

and $\beta > 0$ is a fixed real number. According to these facts, we see that the method used in [2, Proof of Theorem 1] to prove (1.2) does not work for Lip_{χ_β} .

A result of type (1.2) was stated first by H. Hedenmalm [7] in the algebras $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and Lip_{ω_1} , for closed ideals $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a single point. Later, T. V. Pederson [14] has obtained the same result in Lip_{ω_α} , for closed ideals $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is countable. In this paper we are interested in the case where ω satisfies the following condition

$$\inf_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{\omega(t^2)}{\omega(t)} =: \eta_\omega > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

We obtain the following theorem which will be proved in the next section.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). Then $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}, U_{\mathcal{I}}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.*

In particular Theorem 1 provides us with an extension of (1.2) to a large class of smooth algebras between $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $\bigcup_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} Lip_{\omega_\alpha}$, such as Lip_{χ_β} and Lip_{ψ_β} , where

$$\psi_\beta(t) := \log^{-\beta} \log \left(\frac{2e^{1+\beta}}{t} \right), \quad 0 < t \leq 2.$$

As consequence of Theorem 1 we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *We suppose that $\mathbb{E}$ or U are nontrivial such that $\mathbb{E} \supseteq \sigma(U) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{Z}_U \cup \mathbb{E})) |d\xi| > -\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). Then $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is a nontrivial principal closed ideal with $\mathbb{E}$ as hull and U as inner factor.

The estimate (1.4) is in fact a necessary condition guaranteeing that $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is non-trivial, see the proof of Corollary 1. By joining together the results established in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 we deduce that $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is the smallest closed ideal with $\mathbb{E}$ as hull and U as inner factor provided that (1.4) is satisfied.

To prove Theorem 1 we give an adaptation in the space $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) := \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, 1)$ of Korenblum's functional approximation method, see for instance [1, 10, 11]. To do so, we will use some properties enjoyed by the space $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ which we describe in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ be a function, where ω is an arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let $V \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ be an inner function dividing g , that is $g/V \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Then*

$$VO_g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}), \quad (1.5)$$

where O_g is the outer factor of g .

Let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{H}^\infty$ be a sequence of inner functions dividing g such that $\sigma(V_n) \cap \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_n O_g - VO_g\|_\infty = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_n O_g - VO_g\|_\omega = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, assertion (1.5) shows that $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ possesses the F-property. This kind of factorization property has been proved by Havin, Shamoyan and Shirokov for the whole space Lip_ω with respect to any modulus of continuity, see for instance [6], [17, Chapter I] and also [1, Appendix B].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. Section 3 is devoted to presenting some needed results. Sections 4 and 5 contain respectively the proofs of Propositions 3 and 4. In the last section we give the proof of Theorem 2.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND COROLLARY 1.

In section 4.2 we give the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal and let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\tau)$ be a function, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). We suppose that $gf \in \mathcal{I}$ for some outer function $f \in Lip_\omega$. Then $g \in \mathcal{I}$.*

For $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ we denote by U_f and O_f respectively the inner and the outer factor of f . In section 5 we will use both Theorem 2 and Proposition 3 to give the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). There exists a function $f \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $U_f = U_\tau$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal. By applying Proposition 4, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $U_f = U_\mathcal{I}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{I}, U_\mathcal{I})$ be a function. We note that $g/U_\mathcal{I} \in Lip_\omega$, by using the F-property of Lip_ω . Since $gO_f = (g/U_\mathcal{I})f$ then $gO_f \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus $g \in \mathcal{I}$, by applying Proposition 3. Therefore $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{I}, U_\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, which is the desired result. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1. It is known [17, Page. 137] that (1.4) ensure the existence of a function $f \in Lip_\omega$ such that $f^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{Z}_U \cup \mathbb{E}$ and $f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. The functions O_f and UO_f belong to Lip_ω , since Lip_ω possesses the F-property. Therefore $g := UO_f^2 \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$, as product of two functions in $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) := \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, 1)$. Hence $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is non trivial and possesses $\mathbb{E}$ as hull and U as inner factor. Now, we let $[g]$ be the closed ideal in Lip_ω generated by g . Clearly $[g] \subseteq \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{[g]} = \mathbb{E}$ and $U_{[g]} = U$, then $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) \subseteq [g]$, by applying Theorem 1. Therefore $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U) = [g]$ and hence $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}, U)$ is principal. The proof of Corollary 1 is completed. $\square$

3. APPROXIMATION LEMMAS.

We first note that ω is positive on $]0, 2]$ and since $t \mapsto \omega(t)/t$ is nonincreasing,

$$\omega(2t) \leq 2\omega(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.1)$$

For a closed subset $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, we let $\{(a_m, b_m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of distinct arcs such that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (a_m, b_m)$, where (a_m, b_m) is an open arc of $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$ joining the points $a_m, b_m \in \mathbb{E}$. We set

$$\Omega_\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \bigcup_{m \in M} (a_m, b_m) : M \subseteq \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

The next lemma will be used to simplify the following proofs of Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.

Lemma 1. Let $g \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ be a function, where ω is an arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let $\{\Delta_n \in \Omega_\mathbb{E} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence such that $\Delta_n \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_n = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$. We consider a sequence of functions $\{h_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that;

- $i_1.$ $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|h_n\|_\infty < +\infty$,
- $i_2.$ For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function h_n has an extension both to a continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{E}$ and to an analytic function across Δ_n ,
- $i_3.$ For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the both sequences $\{h_n : n \geq p\}$ and $\{h'_n : n \geq p\}$ converge uniformly to 0 on each compact subset $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \Delta_p$.

Then $gh_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ if and only if

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| < +\infty, \quad (3.2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If moreover we suppose that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta \text{ and } |\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

uniformly with respect to all numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $gh_n \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ for sufficiently large numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|gh_n\|_\omega = 0$.

Proof. By definition, a function $g \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\{h_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of functions satisfying the hypotheses i_1 , i_2 and i_3 of the lemma. Since h_n is bounded and has an extension to a continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{E}$, the product gh_n possesses an extension to a function in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ with vanishing values on $\mathbb{E}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the facts that $h_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, $g \in Lip_\omega$ and the following equality

$$\frac{gh_n(\xi) - gh_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + h_n(\zeta) \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$ are two distinct points, we see easily that $gh_n \in Lip_\omega$ if and only if (3.2) holds. Thus $gh_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ if and only if (3.2) is satisfied. We now suppose additionally that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. If we show both

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|gh_n(\xi) - gh_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for each $\delta \in]0, 1]$, and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|gh_n(\xi) - gh_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $gh_n \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, for sufficiently large numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|gh_n\|_\omega = 0$. Indeed, we suppose that (3.5) and (3.6) are satisfied. Let ε be a positive number. Using (3.6), there exists $\delta_\varepsilon \in]0, 1]$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|gh_n(\xi) - gh_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.7)$$

We observe that $\overline{\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon/2)$. Then, by using (3.5), there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon)} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|gh_n(\xi) - gh_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } n \geq n_\varepsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, for two points $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon)$ and $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon)$ we can associate a third point z_{ξ_0, ζ_0} in the boundary of $\mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\max\{|\xi_0 - z_{\xi_0, \zeta_0}|, |z_{\xi_0, \zeta_0} - \zeta_0|\} \leq |\xi_0 - \zeta_0|.$$

Then,

$$\frac{|gh_n(\xi_0) - gh_n(\zeta_0)|}{\omega(|\xi_0 - \zeta_0|)} \leq \frac{|gh_n(\xi_0) - gh_n(z_{\xi_0, \zeta_0})|}{\omega(|\xi_0 - z_{\xi_0, \zeta_0}|)} + \frac{|gh_n(z_{\xi_0, \zeta_0}) - gh_n(\zeta_0)|}{\omega(|z_{\xi_0, \zeta_0} - \zeta_0|)}, \quad (3.9)$$

since ω is nondecreasing. Combining the estimates (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|gh_n(\xi) - gh_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } n \geq n_\varepsilon. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows $gh_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, for every $n \geq n_\varepsilon$, and hence $gh_n \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, since we have assumed (3.6). We now observe that for each $\delta \in]0, 1]$, there exists $n_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)} \subseteq \Delta_n$, for all numbers $n \geq n_\delta$, since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_n = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$. Then, for each $\delta \in]0, 1]$, the sequence $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $\overline{\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)}$, by using the hypothesis i_3 . Furthermore, by using hypothesis i_1 ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} |gh_n(\xi)| = 0.$$

We deduce $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|gh_n\|_\infty = 0$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|gh_n\|_\omega = 0$, by using once more (3.10).

So, it remains to show that (3.5) and (3.6) are fulfilled if (3.3) holds. For this we fix two distinct points $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$ and a number $\delta \in]0, 1]$. We consider the following cases:

Case A. We suppose that $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)$.

A_1 . If $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})$, then

$$\omega(|\xi - \zeta|) \geq \omega\left(\frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E})),$$

by using the properties of ω . Thus

$$\left|g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}\right| \leq 4\|g\|_\omega \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)} |h_n(z)|, \quad n \geq n_\delta. \quad (3.11)$$

A_2 . In the case where $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})$, we consider the open arc (ξ, ζ) of $\mathbb{T}$ joining the points ξ and ζ , and such that $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)$. In all what follows we use the notation $X \lesssim Y$ to mean that there exists a positive constant c such that $X \leq cY$, where X and Y are two nonnegative functions. Since $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}\right| &\leq |g(\xi)| \frac{|h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{2\|g\|_\infty}{\omega(2)} \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} |h'_n(z)| \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_\infty \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)} |h'_n(z)|, \quad n \geq n_\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.11) and (3.12) we deduce that for each $\delta \in]0, 1]$, there exists $n_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \lesssim \|g\|_\omega \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)} |h_n(z)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)} |h'_n(z)| \right), \quad (3.13)$$

for all numbers $n \geq n_\delta$. On the other hand it is plain that

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| h_n(\zeta) \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \lesssim \|g\|_\omega \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}(\delta)} |h_n(z)|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.14)$$

Taking account of the hypothesis i_3 , the equality (3.5) is deduced by combining together (3.4), (3.13) and (3.14).

Case B. Now, we suppose that $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E}$. If we suppose additionally that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &\leq 4 \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|h_n\|_\infty \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{E}(\delta)} \frac{|g(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \\ &= o(1), \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

by using the hypothesis i_1 and the fact that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. It follows

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta \text{ and } |\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since we have assumed (3.3). On the other hand, by using again the hypothesis i_1 and the fact that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, it is easily seen that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| h_n(\zeta) \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the equality (3.6) is deduced by combining together (3.4), (3.17) and (3.18). The proof of Lemma 1 is completed. $\square$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ be an outer function and let $\Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}}$, for some closed subset $\mathbb{E}$ of $\mathbb{T}$. We define the following Korenblum's outer function

$$f_\Gamma(z) := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{\xi + z}{\xi - z} \log |f|(\xi) |d\xi| \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.19)$$

We observe that f_Γ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ with boundary values satisfying

$$|f_\Gamma|(\xi) = \begin{cases} |f|(\xi), & \text{if } \xi \in \Gamma, \\ 1, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}. \end{cases}$$

We also observe that $f_\Gamma = f \times f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}$ and that f_Γ has an extension both to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$ and to a continuous one on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{E}$. For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, we denote by $\mathbb{E}_f$ the boundary zero set of f . The following lemma will be used in the proofs of Proposition 3 and Proposition 4.

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in Lip_\omega$ be an outer function, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). Let $g \in Lip_\omega$ be a function and let $\mathbb{E}$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{E}_g$. Then $gf_\Gamma \in Lip_\omega$ and*

$$\|gf_\Gamma\|_\omega \leq c_{\omega,f} \|g\|_\omega, \quad \text{for every } \Gamma \in \Omega_\mathbb{E}, \quad (3.20)$$

where $c_{\omega,f} > 0$ is a constant not depending on both Γ and g . If moreover $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, then

$$gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}), \quad \text{for every } \Gamma \in \Omega_\mathbb{E}, \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|gf_{\Gamma_n} - g\|_\omega = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

where $\Gamma_n := \bigcup_{m>n} (a_m, b_m)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $g \in Lip_\omega$ be a function. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_\mathbb{E}$, where $\mathbb{E}$ is a fixed closed subset of $\mathbb{E}_g$. Since $f_\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ and has a continuous extension on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{E}$, then $gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ with vanishing values on $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$ be two distinct points such that $d(\xi, \mathbb{E}) \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{E})$. Two cases are possible;

Case A. We suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{4}d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})$. Since ω satisfies (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(\xi) \frac{f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &\leq 2 \max\{1, \|f\|_\infty\} \frac{|g(\xi)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq 4\eta_\omega^{-1} \max\{1, \|f\|_\infty\} \frac{|g(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\leq 4\eta_\omega^{-1} \max\{1, \|f\|_\infty\} \|g\|_\omega. \quad (3.24)$$

Case B. We suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{4}d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})$. In this case, we let (ξ, ζ) be the arc such that $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$. We observe that

$$d(z, \mathbb{E}) \geq d(\xi, \mathbb{E}), \quad \text{for all } z \in (\xi, \zeta).$$

B_1 . We assume that $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$. We simply compute

$$\left| \frac{f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\xi - \zeta} \right| \lesssim \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} |f'_\Gamma(z)| \leq \frac{c_f}{d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})},$$

where $c_f > 0$ is a constant not depending on both Γ and the points ξ and ζ . Since $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing, ω satisfies (1.3) and $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{4}d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(\xi) \frac{f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &= \frac{|g(\xi)||\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \\ &\leq c_f \frac{|g(\xi)||\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})} \\ &\leq \frac{c_f \eta_\omega^{-1}}{2} \frac{|g(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\leq \frac{c_f \eta_\omega^{-1}}{2} \|g\|_\omega. \quad (3.26)$$

B_2 . We now assume that $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \Gamma$. We have $|f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\xi)| = |f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\zeta)| = 1$. The inequality (3.25) and the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta) &= f(\xi)f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) - f(\zeta)f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta) \\ &= f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi)(f(\xi) - f(\zeta)) + f(\zeta)(f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) - f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta)) \\ &= f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi)(f(\xi) - f(\zeta)) \\ &\quad - f(\zeta)f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi)f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta)(f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\xi) - f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\zeta)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

give the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| g(\xi) \frac{f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \\ &\leq |g(\xi)| \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |f(\zeta)||g(\xi)| \frac{|f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\xi) - f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq |g(\xi)| \|f\|_\omega + \frac{c_f \eta_\omega^{-1}}{2} \|f\|_\infty \frac{|g(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{c_f \eta_\omega^{-1}}{2}\right) \|g\|_\omega \|f\|_\omega. \quad (3.29)$$

Joining together the estimates (3.24), (3.26) and (3.29), we obtain

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \leq C_{\omega, f} \|g\|_\omega, \quad \Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}},$$

where $C_{\omega, f} > 0$ is a constant not depending on Γ and g . On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| f_\Gamma(\zeta) \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\omega, \quad \Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}}.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| \frac{gf_\Gamma(\xi) - gf_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \leq (C_{\omega, f} + \|f\|_\infty) \|g\|_\omega, \quad \Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}},$$

which proves (3.20), and so $gf_\Gamma \in Lip_\omega$.

We now suppose additionally that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. Then, by using (3.23), (3.25) and (3.28),

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (3.30)$$

uniformly with respect to all $\Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}}$. On the other hand, it is plain that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| f_\Gamma(\xi) \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (3.31)$$

uniformly with respect to all $\Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}}$, since we have supposed $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. Therefore $gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. Taking account of the estimate (3.30), we can now apply Lemma 1 with $\Delta_n = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\Gamma_n \cup \mathbb{E}\}$ and $h_n = 1 - f_{\Gamma_n}$ to deduce (3.22). This finishes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.

The proof of the proposition will be given in subsection 4.2. Before this we need to point out some technical results.

4.1. Technical Lemmas. We start with the following classical lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ be a function, where ω is an arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let $A := \{a_k : 0 \leq k \leq K\}$ be a finite sequence of points in $\mathbb{E}$ not necessarily distinct, where $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \|\varphi_{\rho, K} g - g\|_\omega = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\varphi_{\rho, K}(z) := \prod_{k=0}^{K-1} \frac{z \overline{a_k} - 1}{z \overline{a_k} - 1 - \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and $\rho \leq 1$ is a positive number.

Proof. We will apply Lemma 1 with $\Delta_n = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove (4.1) it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta \text{ and } |\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2} d(\xi, \mathbb{E})}} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_\rho(\xi) - h_\rho(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

uniformly with respect to all positive numbers $\rho \leq 1$, where $h_\rho := 1 - \varphi_{\rho,K}$. For this, we let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E}$ be two distinct points such that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E})$. We consider the arc (ξ, ζ) satisfying $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$. A simple calculation gives

$$d(z, \mathbb{E}) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{E}), \quad \text{for all } z \in (\xi, \zeta),$$

and

$$|\varphi'_{\rho,K}(z)| \leq \frac{K}{d(z, \mathbb{E})}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}.$$

Since $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(\xi) \frac{h_\rho(\xi) - h_\rho(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &= |g(\xi)| \frac{|\varphi_{\rho,K}(\xi) - \varphi_{\rho,K}(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\lesssim |g(\xi)| \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} |\varphi'_{\rho,K}(z)| \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq 2K \frac{|g(\xi)|}{d(\xi, \mathbb{E})} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq 2K \frac{|g(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Since by hypothesis $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, the desired result (4.2) follows from (4.3). $\square$

The next lemma will be used to simplify the proof of the following one.

Lemma 4. *Let $f \in Lip_\omega$ be an outer function, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). Let $\gamma := (a, b)$ be an open arc joining two points $a, b \in \mathbb{E}_f$ such that $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_f$. Let ε be a nonzero real number such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} - \phi_{\rho,0}^3\|_\omega = 0,$$

where ρ is a fixed positive number and

$$\phi_{\rho,\varepsilon}(z) := \left(\frac{z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1 - \rho} \right) \left(\frac{z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1 - \rho} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proof. Using (3.20),

$$\|\phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}\|_\omega \leq c_{\omega,f} \|\phi_{\rho,0}\|_\omega \|\phi_{\rho,\varepsilon}\|_\omega, \tag{4.4}$$

where $c_{\omega,f} > 0$ is a constant not depending on ε . Clearly

$$\|\phi_{\rho,\varepsilon}\|_\omega \leq \left\| \frac{z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1 - \rho} \right\|_\omega \left\| \frac{z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1 - \rho} \right\|_\omega = \left\| \frac{z - 1}{z - 1 - \rho} \right\|_\omega^2. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus

$$\|\phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}\|_\omega \leq c_{\omega,f} \left\| \frac{z - 1}{z - 1 - \rho} \right\|_\omega^4. \tag{4.6}$$

We set $\mathbb{F} := \{a, b\}$. We have $\phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{F})$, as product of two functions $\phi_{\rho,0}$ and $\phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}$ from $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{F})$. Taking account of (4.5), (4.6) and the fact that $\|\phi_{\rho,\varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq 1$, we calculate, for all distinct points $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\xi) - \phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq |\phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\xi)| \frac{|\phi_{\rho,0}(\xi) - \phi_{\rho,0}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |\phi_{\rho,0}(\zeta)| \frac{|\phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\xi) - \phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq |\phi_{\rho,0}(\xi)| (\|f\|_\infty + 1) \|\phi_{\rho,0}\|_\omega + |\phi_{\rho,0}(\zeta)| \|\phi_{\rho,0} \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}\|_\omega \\ & \leq c_{\omega,f,\rho} (|\phi_{\rho,0}(\xi)| + |\phi_{\rho,0}(\zeta)|), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $c_{\omega,f,\rho} > 0$ is a constant not depending on ε . Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{F}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|\phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\xi) - \phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

and since $\phi_{\rho,0}^3 \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{F})$, we get

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{F}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|k_\varepsilon(\xi) - k_\varepsilon(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

uniformly with respect to ε , where $k_\varepsilon := \phi_{\rho,0}^2 \phi_{\rho,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} - \phi_{\rho,0}^3$.

We will now show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|k_\varepsilon(\xi) - k_\varepsilon(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

for each number $\delta \in]0, 1]$. We first remark that the function k_ε has an analytic extension across $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)$, for sufficiently small numbers ε . A simple calculation gives

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)} |k_\varepsilon(z)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)} |k'_\varepsilon(z)| = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

For two distinct points $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)$, we clearly have two possible cases:

$$\text{Case 1. } |\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{F}) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Case 2. } |\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{F}).$$

In the first case, by using the fact that $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing

$$\frac{|k_\varepsilon(\xi) - k_\varepsilon(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \lesssim \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} |k'_\varepsilon(z)| \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \lesssim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)} |k'_\varepsilon(z)|, \quad (4.12)$$

where (ξ, ζ) is the open arc joining the points ξ and ζ , and such that $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)$. In the second case, by using the fact that ω is nondecreasing and (3.1), we get

$$\frac{|k_\varepsilon(\xi) - k_\varepsilon(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq 2 \frac{\sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)} |k_\varepsilon(z)|}{\omega(\frac{1}{2}d(\xi, \mathbb{F}))} \leq \frac{4}{\omega(\delta)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{F}(\delta)} |k_\varepsilon(z)|. \quad (4.13)$$

Hence the desired equality (4.10) is deduced from (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13). As we have done in the proof of Lemma 1 (see formulas from (3.5) to (3.10) above), we derive from the estimates (4.9) and (4.10) that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|k_\varepsilon\|_\omega = 0$, which is the desired result of our lemma. $\square$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of both Proposition 3 and Proposition 4.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal and let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T})$ be a function, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}}$ be such that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{\Gamma \cup \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}\}$ is a finite union of arcs $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}$). We suppose that $gf \in \mathcal{I}$ for some outer function $f \in Lip_\omega$. Then $gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. For simplicity we suppose that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{\Gamma \cup \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}\} = (a, b) =: \gamma$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}$ and $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}$. Let ε be a nonzero real number such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma$. According to Lemma 2, the functions $\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$ and $\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}$ belong to Lip_ω , since $\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\{ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}\})$. Now, for $\pi : Lip_\omega \rightarrow Lip_\omega / \mathcal{I}$ being the canonical quotient map, we clearly have

$$0 = \pi(\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon}^2 gf) = \pi(\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} gf_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}) \pi(\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}).$$

Then $\pi(\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} gf_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}) = 0$, since the function $\pi(\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} f_{\gamma_\varepsilon})$ is invertible. It follows $\phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} gf_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T})$ then $gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T})$, by applying again Lemma 2. Using Lemma 4 and the following inequality

$$\|\phi_{\rho, 0}^2 \phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} gf_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} - \phi_{\rho, 0}^3 gf_\Gamma\|_\omega \leq \|gf_\Gamma\|_\omega \times \|\phi_{\rho, 0}^2 \phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} f_{\gamma \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} - \phi_{\rho, 0}^3\|_\omega,$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\phi_{\rho, 0}^2 \phi_{\rho, \varepsilon} gf_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} - \phi_{\rho, 0}^3 gf_\Gamma\|_\omega = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

We deduce that $\phi_{\rho, 0}^3 gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{I}$, for all $\rho > 0$. On the other hand

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \|\phi_{\rho, 0}^3 gf_\Gamma - gf_\Gamma\|_\omega = 0,$$

by applying Lemma 3. Therefore $gf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{I}$. $\square$

4.2. Proof of Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal and let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T})$ be a function. We suppose that $gf \in \mathcal{I}$, for some outer function $f \in Lip_\omega$. We set $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a_n, b_n)$, where $(a_n, b_n) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}$ and $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{E}_\mathcal{T}$. We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|gf_{\Gamma_n} - g\|_\omega = 0$, by applying Lemma 2 with $\Gamma_n = \bigcup_{m > n} (a_m, b_m)$. Then $g \in \mathcal{I}$, since, by applying Lemma 5, $gf_{\Gamma_n} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.

To prove the proposition we need first to establish some lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let $f \in Lip_\omega$ be a function, where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.3). Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{E}_f$ and let $S \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ be a singular inner function such that $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} d\mu_S(e^{i\theta}) \leq M$, where $M > 0$ is a constant. Then $Sf \in Lip_\omega$ and*

$$\|Sf\|_\omega \leq c_{\omega, M} \|f\|_\omega, \quad (5.1)$$

where $c_{\omega,M} > 0$ is a constant not depending on f . If moreover $f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ then $Sf \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$.

Proof. We let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$ be two distinct points such that $d(\xi, \mathbb{E}) \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{E})$. Since

$$\frac{Sf(\xi) - Sf(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = f(\xi) \frac{S(\xi) - S(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + S(\zeta) \frac{f(\xi) - f(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \quad (5.2)$$

then

$$\left| \frac{Sf(\xi) - Sf(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \leq \left| f(\xi) \frac{S(\xi) - S(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| + \|f\|_\omega. \quad (5.3)$$

We have two situations:

Case A. We suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{4}d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})$. Since ω satisfies (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(\xi) \frac{S(\xi) - S(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &\leq 2 \frac{|f(\xi)|}{\omega(\frac{1}{4}d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \\ &\leq 4\eta_\omega^{-1} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\leq 4\eta_\omega^{-1} \|f\|_\omega. \quad (5.5)$$

Case B. We now suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{4}d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})$. In this case we let (ξ, ζ) be the open arc joining the points ξ and ζ , and such that $(\xi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$. Since we have assumed $d(\xi, \mathbb{E}) \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{E})$, then

$$d(z, \mathbb{E}) \geq d(\xi, \mathbb{E}), \quad z \in (\xi, \zeta).$$

We have

$$\frac{|S(\xi) - S(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \lesssim \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} |S'(z)| \lesssim \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} a_s(z),$$

where

$$a_s(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\mu_s(e^{i\theta}), \quad z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}.$$

Then

$$\frac{|S(\xi) - S(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \lesssim \frac{M}{d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})},$$

and consequently

$$\left| f(\xi) \frac{S(\xi) - S(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \lesssim M \frac{|f(\xi)|}{d^2(\xi, \mathbb{E})} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}.$$

Therefore, by using the facts that $t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing and that ω satisfies (1.3),

$$\left| f(\xi) \frac{S(\xi) - S(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \lesssim M\eta_\omega^{-1} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{\omega(d(\xi, \mathbb{E}))} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\lesssim M\eta_\omega^{-1} \|f\|_\omega. \quad (5.7)$$

Using the inequalities (5.3), (5.5) and (5.7) we deduce that $\|Sf\|_\omega \leq c_{\omega,M}\|f\|_\omega$, and hence $Sf \in Lip_\omega$. It remains to prove that if $f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ then so is for Sf . Using (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| \frac{Sf(\xi) - Sf(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| f(\xi) \frac{S(\xi) - S(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| + \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| \frac{f(\xi) - f(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

for every $\delta \in]0, 1]$. The desired result follows by combining (5.4), (5.6) and (5.8) and using the hypothesis that $f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. $\square$

For a function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, we denote by B_f and S_f respectively the Blaschke product associated to $\mathbb{Z}_{U_f}$, and the singular part of U_f . Similarly, $B_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $S_{\mathcal{I}}$ are respectively the Blaschke product constructed from $\mathbb{Z}_{U_{\mathcal{I}}}$, and the singular part of $U_{\mathcal{I}}$. For completeness, we give the proof of the following classical lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq Lip_\omega$ be a closed ideal, where ω is an arbitrary modulus of continuity. There exists a sequence of functions $\{g_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ satisfying the following properties:*

i. *The greater common divisor of the singular functions S_{g_k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is equal to $S_{\mathcal{I}}$ and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{S_{g_k}} - \mu_{S_{\mathcal{I}}}\| = 0.$$

ii. *The sequence $\{B_{g_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to $B_{\mathcal{I}}$ on each compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ the closedness of $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$. According to Beurling-Rudin Theorem, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}} := \{g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : g/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty \text{ and } g(z) = 0, \text{ for every } z \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}\}. \quad (5.9)$$

Let now $O \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ be an outer function vanishing on $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}} \supseteq \sigma(U_{\mathcal{I}}) \cap \mathbb{T}$, then $U_{\mathcal{I}}O \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$. Thus $U_{\mathcal{I}}O \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}$, by using (5.9). We suppose that $U_{\mathcal{I}}O \notin \mathcal{I}$, otherwise the lemma is obvious. By applying again (5.9), there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ converging uniformly to $U_{\mathcal{I}}O$. As it is done in [4, Lemma 1.3], we can extract a subsequence $\{g_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying the desired results of the lemma. $\square$

We also give the proof of the following simple lemma.

Lemma 8. *Let $\{S_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of singular functions such that $\text{supp}(\mu_{S_k}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$. We suppose that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{S_k} - \mu_S\| = 0,$$

for some singular function S . Then $\{S_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to S on each compact subset of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. We let $\mathbb{K}$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{F}$. It is obvious that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu_{S_k}(e^{i\theta}) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu_S(e^{i\theta}) \right| \leq \frac{2\|\mu_{S_k} - \mu_S\|}{\delta_{\mathbb{K}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{K}, \quad (5.10)$$

where $\delta_{\mathbb{K}} := \inf_{z \in \mathbb{K}} d(z, \mathbb{F})$. We remark that $\delta_{\mathbb{K}} > 0$. Using the estimate (5.10) and the simple fact that there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of 0 such that

$$|e^z - 1| \leq 2|z|, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

we deduce that for sufficiently large numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|S_k(z) - S(z)| \leq \left| \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d(\mu_s - \mu_{s_k})(e^{i\theta}) \right\} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{4\|\mu_{s_k} - \mu_s\|}{\delta_{\mathbb{K}}}, \quad (5.11)$$

for all points $z \in \mathbb{K}$. Which gives the desired result of the lemma. $\square$

With a singular function $S \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ and a closed subset $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ we associate the following singular function

$$(S)_{\mathbb{K}}(z) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu_s(e^{i\theta}) \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}$ be a function. We define $B_{\{f,n\}}$ and $B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}$ to be respectively the Blaschke product with zeros $\mathbb{Z}_{U_f} \cap \mathbb{D}_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{U_{\mathcal{I}}} \cap \mathbb{D}_n$, where

$$\mathbb{D}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < \frac{n}{n+1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we set

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \{g \in Lip_\omega : gB_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}} \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Let $\{g_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ be the sequence of Lemma 7. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}}$ is finite, then $B_{\{g_k,n\}} = B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}$ for a sufficiently large number $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by using the property (ii) of Lemma 7. Then $g_k/B_{\{g_k,n\}} \in \mathcal{J}_n$, for a large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the zero set $\bigcap_{g \in \mathcal{J}_n} g^{-1}(0)$ of the ideal $\mathcal{J}_n$ is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_n$, since $g_k/B_{\{g_k,n\}}$ does not vanish on $\mathbb{D}_n$. Therefore $B_{\{f,n\}}$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $Lip_\omega/\mathcal{J}_n$.

We now let $\pi_n : Lip_\omega \rightarrow Lip_\omega/\mathcal{J}_n$ be the canonical quotient map. Since $f \in \mathcal{I}$ then $f \in \mathcal{J}_n$, and hence

$$0 = \pi_n(f) = \pi_n(f/B_{\{f,n\}})\pi_n(B_{\{f,n\}}).$$

Therefore $\pi_n(f/B_{\{f,n\}}) = 0$, and consequently $f/B_{\{f,n\}} \in \mathcal{J}_n$. It follows that

$$B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}(f/B_{\{f,n\}}) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Since the sequence $\{B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}(B_f/B_{\{f,n\}}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{E}_f$ to $B_{\mathcal{I}}$, and since $S_f O_f^2$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{E}_f$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}(B_f/B_{\{f,n\}})S_f O_f^2 - B_{\mathcal{I}}S_f O_f^2\|_\infty = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\{\mathcal{I},n\}}(B_f/B_{\{f,n\}})S_f O_f^2 - B_{\mathcal{I}}S_f O_f^2\|_\omega = 0,$$

by using Theorem 2 and the fact that $fO_f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_f)$, as product of two functions $f, O_f \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}_f)$. Therefore

$$B_{\mathcal{I}} S_f O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We let ε be a nonzero real number such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma := (a, b)$, where $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the open arc joining the points $a, b \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Using Lemma 2, we have $L_\varepsilon := p_\varepsilon \times (O_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \in Lip_\omega$, where

$$p_\varepsilon(z) := (z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1)(z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\mathbb{E}_{L_\varepsilon} = \{ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}\} \cup (\overline{\gamma_\varepsilon} \cap \mathbb{E}_f).$$

Since $\sigma((S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}) \subseteq \overline{\gamma_\varepsilon} \cap \mathbb{E}_f \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{L_\varepsilon}$, then $(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} L_\varepsilon \in Lip_\omega$, by applying Lemma 6. Furthermore, we remark that $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} O_f \in Lip_\omega$, by using the F-property of Lip_ω . We just proved above that $B_{\mathcal{I}} S_f O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}$, then

$$0 = \pi(L_\varepsilon B_{\mathcal{I}} S_f O_f^2) = \pi((S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} L_\varepsilon) \times \pi(B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} O_f^2),$$

where $\pi : Lip_\omega \rightarrow Lip_\omega/\mathcal{I}$ is the canonical quotient map. The function $(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} L_\varepsilon$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $Lip_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, since its zero set $\mathbb{E}_{L_\varepsilon}$ does not intersect with the spectrum $\sigma(U_{\mathcal{I}}) \cup \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of the Banach algebra $Lip_\omega/\mathcal{I}$. Thus $\pi(B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} O_f^2) = 0$, and hence

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Using Lemma 8, we deduce that the sequence of elements $(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{E}_f$ to $(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma}$, when ε goes to 0. This fact and the clearly fact that $B_{\mathcal{I}} O_f^2$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{E}_f$, give simply

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} O_f^2 - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma} O_f^2\|_\infty = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon} O_f^2 - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma} O_f^2\|_\omega = 0,$$

by using Theorem 2. Thus

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \gamma} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We now argue similarly to arrive at

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Delta_N} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I},$$

where $\Delta_N := \bigcup_{n \leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}$. As it is just done above, a simple application of Lemma 8 gives

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Delta_N} O_f^2 - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2\|_\infty = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Delta_N} O_f^2 - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2\|_\omega = 0,$$

by using again Theorem 2. Therefore

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We deduce

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_{g_k}^2 \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and hence

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_{g_k}^2 O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\{g_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ is the sequence of Lemma 7. Using respectively the F-Property of Lip_{ω} and Lemma 6, we obtain $B_{\mathcal{I}} O_f \in Lip_{\omega}$ and $(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f \in Lip_{\omega}$. Since this two functions vanish on $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$, we obtain $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{J}_{\omega}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}})$. Thus, by applying Proposition 3,

$$B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

since we proved (5.12). We deduce that the singular functions $(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ are all divided by $S_{\mathcal{I}}$, and since

$$\|\mu_{(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}} - \mu_{S_{\mathcal{I}}}\| \leq \|\mu_{S_{g_k}} - \mu_{S_{\mathcal{I}}}\|, \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then, by using Lemma 7,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}} - \mu_{S_{\mathcal{I}}}\| = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2 - U_{\mathcal{I}} O_f^2\|_{\infty} = 0,$$

by using Lemma 8 and also the simple fact that $B_{\mathcal{I}} O_f^2$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_{g_k})_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f^2 - U_{\mathcal{I}} O_f^2\|_{\omega} = 0,$$

by using once more Theorem 2. Hence $U_{\mathcal{I}} O_f^2 \in \mathcal{I}$, which gives the desired result of the proposition.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

Before giving the proof of the theorem, we need first to establish some needed results. Some of these results are actually inspired from [1, 17, 6].

6.1. Some properties of functions from $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. The next lemma will simplify the proof of the following one.

Lemma 9. *Let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ be a function, where ω is an arbitrary modulus of continuity. For every $\nu \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} \log(|g(\xi) - g(z/|z|)| + \nu) |d\xi| \right\} \\ & \leq o(\omega(1 - |z|)) + A\nu, \quad \text{as } d(z, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where $A \geq 1$ is an absolute constant.

Proof. Since $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|g(\xi) - g(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $\varepsilon \leq 1$ be a positive number. By using (6.2), there exists a positive number c_ε such that

$$|g(\xi) - g(z/|z|)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|\xi - z/|z||)$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ for which $d(z, \mathbb{E}) \leq c_\varepsilon$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|\xi - z/|z|| \leq c_\varepsilon$. For a point $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we divide $\mathbb{T}$ into the following three parts

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(z) &:= \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : |\xi - z/|z|| \leq 1 - |z| \leq c_\varepsilon \}, \\ \Gamma_2(z) &:= \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : 1 - |z| \leq |\xi - z/|z|| \leq c_\varepsilon \}, \\ \Gamma_3(z) &:= \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : c_\varepsilon \leq |\xi - z/|z|| \}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of [1, Lemma A.2] (with $\delta = \nu$) we deduce that, for all points $z \in \mathbb{D}$ sufficiently close to $\mathbb{E}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} \log(|g(\xi) - g(z/|z|)| + \nu) |d\xi| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1(z)} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2(z)} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3(z)} \\ & \leq \log(\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \nu) + c \int_{t \geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2} dt - \varepsilon \log(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. Hence (6.1) is deduced from (6.3). $\square$

An application of Lemma 9 provide us with the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *Let ω be an arbitrary modulus of continuity. Then $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{K}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, where*

$$\mathcal{K}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) : \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{d(z, \mathbb{E}), d(w, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta \\ z, w \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) \supseteq \mathcal{K}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. We now let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ be a function and ε be a fixed positive number. We remark that, for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\min\{|z|, |w|\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$|z - w| \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{z}{|z|} - \frac{w}{|w|} \right|.$$

For two distinct points $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, we have two possible cases:

Case 1. $|z - w| \geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 - |z|, 1 - |w|\}$ or Case 2. $|z - w| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 - |z|, 1 - |w|\}$.

We let $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ be two distinct points such that $\min\{|z|, |w|\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. In the first case, by using the facts that both ω and $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ are nondecreasing functions, and (3.1),

$$\frac{|g(z) - g(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \leq 2 \frac{|g(\frac{z}{|z|}) - g(\frac{z}{|z|})|}{\omega(1 - |z|)} + 4 \frac{|g(\frac{z}{|z|}) - g(\frac{w}{|w|})|}{\omega(|\frac{z}{|z|} - \frac{w}{|w|}|)} + 2 \frac{|g(w) - g(\frac{w}{|w|})|}{\omega(1 - |w|)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Furthermore, by applying Lemma 9 with $\nu = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - g(\frac{z}{|z|})| &\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} \log(|g(\xi) - g(\frac{z}{|z|})|) |d\xi| \right\} \\ &= o(\omega(1 - |z|)), \quad \text{as } d(z, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Thus, by combining the estimates (6.4) and (6.5), and using our assumption that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, we obtain

$$\frac{|g(z) - g(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad (6.6)$$

if $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ are two distinct points sufficiently close to $\mathbb{E}$, and satisfying $|z - w| \geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 - |z|, 1 - |w|\}$.

In the second case, it can be assumed without loss of generality that $\max\{1 - |z|, 1 - |w|\} = 1 - |z|$. Since $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing, we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|g(z) - g(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} &\leq \left(\sup_{|q - z| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|)} |g'(q)| \right) \frac{|z - w|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{|q - z| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|)} |g'(q)| \right) \frac{1 - |z|}{\omega(1 - |z|)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

On the other hand, for a point $q \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $|q - z| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|)$, the classical Cauchy formula gives

$$g'(q) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{|p - z| = \frac{3}{4}(1 - |z|)} \frac{f(p) - f(z)}{(p - q)^2} dp.$$

It follows

$$|g'(q)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|p - z| = \frac{3}{4}(1 - |z|)} \frac{|f(p) - f(z)|}{|p - q|^2} |dp|. \quad (6.8)$$

Then

$$|g'(q)| \leq \frac{12}{1-|z|} \sup_{|p-z|=\frac{3}{4}(1-|z|)} |f(p) - f(z)|. \quad (6.9)$$

Thus, by using (6.6) and since ω is nondecreasing,

$$|g'(q)| \leq \varepsilon \frac{\omega(1-|z|)}{1-|z|}, \quad (6.10)$$

if z is sufficiently close to $\mathbb{E}$. Therefore, by combining (6.7) and (6.10),

$$\frac{|g(z) - g(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad (6.11)$$

if $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ are two distinct points sufficiently close to $\mathbb{E}$, and satisfying $|z - w| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 - |z|, 1 - |w|\}$.

We now arrive to the conclusion that $g \in \mathcal{K}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, by joining together the estimates (6.6) and (6.11). Hence $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, which completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

For an inner function $U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ we set

$$a_U(\xi) := \sum_n \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|\xi - z_n|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - \xi|^2} d\mu_U(\theta), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_f,$$

where z_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are the zeros of U , each z_n is repeated according to its multiplicity, and μ_U is the positive singular measure associated with the singular factor of U , with the understanding that $a_U = 0$ if U is constant. In [18] Shirokov proved

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_f} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(\min\{1, a_{U_f}^{-1}(\zeta)\})} < +\infty, \quad (6.12)$$

for every function $f \in Lip_\omega$, see also [1, Lemma B.5]. However, functions from the space $\mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ admit more precise control than (6.12);

Lemma 10. *Let $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$ be a function, where ω is an arbitrary modulus of continuity. Then*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E}_g} \frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(\min\{1, a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)\})} = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |O_g(z)| \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} \log |g(\xi)| |d\xi| \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} \log (|g(\xi) - g(z/|z|)| + |g(z/|z|)|) |d\xi| \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Then, using Lemma 9 with $\nu = |g(z/|z|)|$,

$$|O_g(z)| \leq o(\omega(1 - |z|)) + A|g(z/|z|)|, \quad \text{as } d(z, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.14)$$

From Proposition 5,

$$|g(z) - g(\zeta)| = o(\omega(|z - \zeta|)), \quad \text{as } d(z, \mathbb{E}), d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.15)$$

We let $\varepsilon \leq 1$ be a positive number. Using (6.14) and (6.15), there exists a positive number δ_ε such that

$$|O_g(z)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|1 - |z||) + A|g(z/|z|)|, \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$|g(z) - g(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|z - \zeta|), \quad (6.17)$$

whenever $1 - |z| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$, $d(z/|z|, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ and $d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta_\varepsilon$.

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta_\varepsilon) \setminus \mathbb{E}_g$ be a point satisfying

$$8Aa_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta) < 1.$$

Two cases are possible;

Case A. We assume that $d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g) \leq 8Aa_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)$. Using (6.17)

$$|g(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g)).$$

Then

$$|g(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(8Aa_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)) \leq 8A\varepsilon \omega(a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)).$$

Case B. We now assume that $d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g) \geq 8Aa_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)$. Then $1 - \rho_\zeta \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g)$, where

$$\rho_\zeta := 1 - 8Aa_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta).$$

We have

$$|U_g(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - \rho_\zeta}{8} a_{U_g}(\zeta) \right\} = e^{-A}, \quad (6.18)$$

as it is already computed in [1, Lemma B.4]. We observe that

$$1 - \rho_\zeta \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g) \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}_g) \leq d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \leq \delta_\varepsilon.$$

From (6.16) and (6.18)

$$|g(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| = |U_g(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| |O_g(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| \leq Ae^{-A} (\varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) + |g(\zeta)|). \quad (6.19)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)| &\leq |g(\zeta) - g(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| + |g(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) + Ae^{-A} (\varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) + |g(\zeta)|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)| &\leq \varepsilon(1 - Ae^{-A})^{-1}(1 + Ae^{-A})\omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) \\ &\leq 24A\varepsilon \omega(a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows by joining together the results of the above two cases. $\square$

6.2. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $g \in Lip_\omega$ be a function and $V \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be an inner function dividing g . We have $O_g \in Lip_\omega$ and $VO_g \in Lip_\omega$, by the F-property of Lip_ω . Now, we suppose additionally that $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$. We will first show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E}_g \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| g(\zeta) \frac{V(\xi) - V(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (6.20)$$

uniformly with respect to any inner function V dividing U_g . Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_g$ be two distinct points. We have two possibilities;

Case A. We suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g)$. Since $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, then

$$\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g))} = o(1), \quad \text{as } d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.21)$$

by using (6.15). It follows

$$\left| g(\zeta) \frac{V(\xi) - V(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \leq 2 \frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g))} = o(1), \quad \text{as } d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.22)$$

Case B. We now suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, \mathbb{Z}_g)$. Let $(\xi, \zeta) \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_g$ be the arc joining the points ξ and ζ . We have

$$\frac{1}{2}|\zeta - w| \leq |z - w| \leq \frac{3}{2}|\zeta - w|, \quad z \in (\xi, \zeta) \text{ and } w \in \mathbb{Z}_g.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|V(\xi) - V(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} &\lesssim \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} |V'(z)| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{z \in (\xi, \zeta)} \left\{ \sum_n \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|z - z_n|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\mu_{U_g}(\theta) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the zero set of g in $\mathbb{D}$ and μ_{U_g} is the positive singular measure associated with the singular factor of U_g . Then

$$\frac{|V(\xi) - V(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \lesssim a_{U_g}(\zeta). \quad (6.23)$$

Case B.1. We assume that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)$. Since $t \mapsto t/\omega(t)$ is nondecreasing, and by applying Lemma 10, we have

$$|g(\zeta)| a_{U_g}(\zeta) \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq \frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(\min\{1, a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)\})} = o(1), \quad \text{as } d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.24)$$

Using (6.23) and (6.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(\zeta) \frac{V(\xi) - V(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &= |g(\zeta)| \frac{|V(\xi) - V(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &= o(1), \quad \text{as } d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

Case B.2. We assume that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)$. Then, by applying once more Lemma 10,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g(\zeta) \frac{V(\xi) - V(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| &\leq 2 \frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(\min\{1, a_{U_g}^{-1}(\zeta)\})} \\ &= o(1), \quad \text{as } d(\zeta, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

Hence, the equality (6.20) is deduced by combining (6.22), (6.25) and (6.26). We now clearly have

$$\frac{VO_g(\xi) - VO_g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = V(\xi) \frac{O_g(\xi) - O_g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + O_g(\zeta) \frac{V(\xi) - V(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}, \quad (6.27)$$

for all distinct points $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_g$. By considering the particular case $V = U_g$ in the equality (6.27), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{O_g(\xi) - O_g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| + \left| g(\zeta) \frac{U_g(\xi) - U_g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right|. \quad (6.28)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{VO_g(\xi) - VO_g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{g(\xi) - g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| + \left| g(\zeta) \frac{U_g(\xi) - U_g(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| + \left| g(\zeta) \frac{V(\xi) - V(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

for all distinct points $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}_g$. Therefore $VO_g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, since by hypothesis $g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, and combining the estimates (6.20) and (6.29). Which finishes the proof of (1.5). In particular we deduce that $O_g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$.

We now let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{H}^\infty$ be a sequence of inner functions dividing g , satisfying (1.6) and such that $\sigma(V_n) \cap \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\sigma(V) \cap \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$. The equality (6.20) gives the following one,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{E}(\delta) \setminus \mathbb{E} \\ \xi \neq \zeta}} \left| O_g(\zeta) \frac{h_n(\xi) - h_n(\zeta)}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right| = 0, \quad (6.30)$$

uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $h_n := V_n - V$. Tacking account of (6.30) and the fact that $O_g \in \mathcal{J}_\omega(\mathbb{E})$, the equality (1.7) is deduced by applying Lemma 1 with $\Delta_n = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{E}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BOUYA, *Closed ideals in analytic weighted Lipschitz algebras*, Advances in Mathematics, 219 (2008), 1446–1468.
- [2] B. BOUYA, M. ZARRABI, *On closed ideals in the big Lipschitz algebras of analytic functions*, Bull. Sci. Math. 137 (2013), no. 5, 575–583.
- [3] L. CARLESON, *Sets of uniqueness for functions regular in the unit circle*, Acta Math. 87 (1952) 325–345.
- [4] J. ESTERLE, E. STROUSE, F. ZOUAKIA, *Closed ideals of A^+ and the Cantor set*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 449 (1994), 65–79.

- [5] P. GORKIN, K. IZUCHI, R. MORTINI, *Higher order hulls in H^∞ . II*, J. Funct. Anal. 177 (2000), no. 1, 107–129.
- [6] V. P. HAVIN; F. A. SHAMOYAN, *Analytic functions with a Lipschitzian modulus of the boundary values*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 19 (1970) 237–239.
- [7] H. HEDENMALM, *Bounded analytic functions and closed ideals*, J. Anal. Math. 48 (1987), 142–166.
- [8] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1988, Reprint of the 1962 original.
- [9] K. HOFFMAN, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math. 86 (1967), 74–111.
- [10] B. I. KORENBLUM, *Invariant subspaces of the shift operator in a weighted Hilbert space*, Mat. Sb. 89(131)(1972), 110–138.
- [11] A. MATHESON, *Cyclic vectors for invariant subspaces in some classes of analytic functions*, Illinois J. Math. 36 (1) (1992) 136144.
- [12] W. RUDIN, *The closed ideals in an algebra of analytic functions*, Can. J. Math. 9 (1957), 426–434.
- [13] N.K. NIKOLSKII, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg 1986.
- [14] T. V. PEDERSEN, *Ideals in big Lipschitz algebras of analytic functions*, Studia Mathematica 161 (1) (2004), 33–58.
- [15] F. A. SHAMOYAN, *Closed ideals in algebras of functions that are analytic in the disk and smooth up to its boundary*, Mat. Sb. 79 (1994), no. 2, 425–445.
- [16] D. R. SHERBERT, *The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 240–272.
- [17] N. A. SHIROKOV, *Analytic functions smooth up to the boundary*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1312. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [18] N. A. SHIROKOV, *Ideals and factorization in algebras of analytic functions that are smooth up to the boundary*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 130 (1978), 196–222; English transl. in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 130 (1979), 205–233.
- [19] P. M. TAMRAZOV, *Contour and solid structural properties of holomorphic functions of a complex variable*, (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973), no. 1(169), 131–161.

B. BOUYA, MADRETSCHSTR.52, CH-2503, BIEL.

E-mail address: brahimbouya@gmail.com

M. ZARRABI, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX (IMB), UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX, 351 COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE, FRANCE.

E-mail address: Mohamed.Zarrabi@math.u-bordeaux1.fr